

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FI		NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/741,325 12/19/2003		/19/2003	Ronald N. Yeaple	0090075	4486	
9355	7590	09/22/2004		EXAMINER		
ALLEN, D'	YER, DOP	PELT, MILBRA	CARTER, MONICA SMITH			
P.O. BOX 37	91			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ORLANDO,	FL 32802	2-3/91	3722			

DATE MAILED: 09/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	du			
		1			NI.			
	Office Action Summany	10/741,325		YEAPLE, RONALD N	N.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Monica S. (3722				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	ppears on the	cover sneet with the	3 correspondence addre	155			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a red period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period returned to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no even eply within the statut d will apply and will ute. cause the applic	nt, however, may a reply be cory minimum of thirty (30) o expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro cation to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this comm NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
Status								
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19	December 20	03.					
•	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)								
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)[Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.	rawn from con		•				
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.						
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	ccepted or b)[objected to by th	e Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the better the correct to be the second of the correct to the second of the s							
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document according to the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been nts have been iority documen au (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applic nts have been rece e 17.2(a)).	cation No sived in this National Sta	age			
Attachmer	nt(s)							
1) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summa					
2) Noti	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	·0\	Paper No(s)/Mail Notice of Informa	l Date al Patent Application (PTO-15	52)			
	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (P10-1449 of P10/35/06 er No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/27/03</u> .		6) Other:	Character (c. 12)	,			

Application/Control Number: 10/741,325

Art Unit: 3722

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Omum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-29 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-31 of U.S. Patent No. 6,652,210 in view of Bellanca (5,108,244).

Both Yeaple (patent '210) and Yeaple (application '325) disclose binding a stack of pages to form a book comprising an electrically resistive strip, a stack of bound pages and an electric current to bind the binding edge of the stack.

However, Yeaple ('210) fails to disclose a flyleaf inside a book cover having a spine of the flyleaf affixed to the spine of the book cover.

Bellanca discloses that it is known to provide a book production process wherein a flyleaf is joined to front and rear covers of a book block and an end paper on the book cover inner spine (see column 1, lines 18-31). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the book binding

Art Unit: 3722

process of Yeaple ('210) to include providing a flyleaf, as taught by Bellanca, to further reinforce the binding area of the book.

Regarding claims 10 and 23, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide any desired binding voltage and binding current, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F. 2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Conclusion

- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited references disclose binding methods.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Monica S. Carter whose telephone number is (703) 305-0305. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (6:30 AM 4:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrea L. Wellington can be reached on (703) 308-2159. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/741,325

Art Unit: 3722

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

September 17, 2004

MONICA S. CARTER
FRIMARY EXAMINER

Moneca S. Carta